

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,976	12/15/2004	Josephus Arnoldus Henricus Maria Kahlman	NL 020577	1953
24737 75	7590 07/20/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			JEAN PIERRE, PEGUY	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DRE MCERT 1	1111011, 111 10510		2819 .	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		V
10/517,976	KAHLMAN, JOSEPHUS ARNOLDUS HENRICUS MAR		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peguy JeanPierre	2819		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires ____ b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL _. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _ 13. Other: ____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the running digital sum (RDS) of each group of N codewords where N=2 is zero is misleading. Applicant argues that the RDS in Figure 3 of boxes 34 and 34a forming a group of codewords of N=2, namely 1010 and 0101 is zero and the RDS of the last two boxes of the same Figure is also zero. This is true.

However, it is not true that the RDS of box 34 1010 and either one of the last boxes 0111 is zero; that is the RDS of 1010 0111 is not zero. Hence, the added limitation of claim 1 of "a riunning disparity of each group of N codewords equals zero is misleading. If any two groups of the codewords chosen randomly do not show a running disparity of zero, the limitation is false, misleading, and ambiguous. While box 34 and 34b have an RDS of zero, box 34a does not have an RDS of zero.

The claims must be drafted to precisely set the criteria for an RDS of zero for N=2 group of codewords or which group of codewords when combined show an RDS of zero.

It is not clear whether each group of codewords must be regarded as each group of adjacent codewords as shown in Figure 4; it is not clear whether both codewords show a positive and negative disparity but when combined provide a zero disparity. It is not clear whether the precoder outputs a group of N=2 codewords as contended by Aplicant in his remarks or the coder such as the 17PP coder outputs a group of N=2 codewords as described in the specification Please clarify.

The Examner maintains the rejection of the claims.